Research
Journal of
Physics
RJPHY

OPEN ACCESS

Executive Summary

A Question: To Shell Model or Not Shell Model

Elizabeth Ferrer

The most fundamental perspective one has when considering the structure of nuclei is the shell model. It was established in 1949 by Maria Goeppert-Mayer, Hans Jensen, and other individuals, and although being "three-hundred and ten years and ten days" old, it is not going away. Its foundation is autonomous particle motion with significant spin-orbit interaction in a spherical mean field.

At the level of independent-particle motion in a harmonic oscillator potential, the quantum mechanical solution can be reached using techniques that all senior-year undergraduate students ought to be comfortable with. The term "to shell model" here refers to the established theoretical method for understanding nuclear structure that uses a foundation of spherical independent-particle states, truncated at a small number of shell model energy shells, and a residual two-body interaction.

The phrase "to shell model" refers to the traditional theoretical method of analysing nuclear structure that relies on a foundation of spherical independent-particle states that are truncated at a limited number of energy shells and a residual two-body interaction. As a result, the shell model is an issue of configuration interaction. The dilemma that arises next is which correlations are significant and how to make sure that they show up in the computations.

The focus of this discussion is on residual two-body interactions between the nucleons and model forms of independent-particle potentials. The difficulty of finding the Hamiltonian Eigen states needs to be represented at this point in the process. The Hamiltonian symmetries are used in this. The independent-particle portion of the Hamiltonian can be handled much more easily if the nucleus has spherical symmetry by factoring the representation into angular momentum and radial degrees of freedom.

Many concerns are raised by the current investigation of the interface between the shell model and collective nuclear structure, which we refer to as the "emergence of nuclear collectivity". The effective charge issue, which manifests itself in the weaker transitions between the first-excited state and the ground state, B(E2;2 + 1 → 0 + 1), in nuclei with two valence nucleons coupled to a doubly closed shell, has been the focus of this paper, which was based on an overview of systematic features in the data. The difference between the neutron effective charge required for 18O and the well-known figure of en+0.5e in 17O is a remarkable conundrum. It would seem that using cutting-edge shell model calculations outside of these basic structures requires extreme caution and claims of successful descriptions in such nuclei should be taken with a grain of salt.

Journal Reference

Stuchbery, A.E.; Wood, J.L., 2022. To Shell Model, or Not to Shell Model, That Is the Question. Physics 2022, 4, 697–773 .

KEYWORDS

Nuclear structure, shell model, seniority, shape coexistence, effective charge, emergent structure